

## Physico-chemical composition , minerals content and sensory evaluation of psyllium husks toast bread

التركيب الفيزيائي والمحتوي المعدني والتقييم الحسي

لقشور السيليوم لخبز التوست

الباحثة / آية محمد فضل أحمد

باحثة ماجستير تخصص (تغذية وعلوم الاطعمة) قسم الاقتصاد المنزلي

كلية التربية النوعية ، جامعه اسيوط

أ.د / هند محمد علي

أ.د/ سعاد محمد عمر

أستاذ التغذية وعلوم الأطعمة المتفرغ أستاذ التغذية وعلوم الأطعمة ورئيس قسم

قسم الاقتصاد المنزلي ، كلية التربية النوعية الاقتصاد المنزلي ، كلية التربية النوعية

جامعه أسيوط

جامعه أسيوط

المجلد السادس - العدد ٢١ - أبريل ٢٠٢٤

الترقيم الدولي

P-ISSN: ٢٥٣٥-٢٢٢٩

O - ISSN: ٣٠٠٩-٦٠١٤

موقع المجلة عبر بنك المعرفة المصري/ <https://hgg.journals.ekb.eg/>

العنوان: كلية التربية النوعية - جامعة أسيوط - جمهورية مصر العربية



Add: Faculty of Specific Education-Nile street- Assiut

العنوان : كلية التربية النوعية - شارع النيل - أسيوط

Print ISSN: 2535-2229

Office / Fax

088/2143535

فاكس / مباشر :

On Line ISSN: 3009-6014

Tel

088/2143536

تليفون :

<https://hgg.journals.ekb.eg>

Mob

01027753777

موبايل :

## **Physico-chemical composition , minerals content and sensory evaluation of psyllium husks toast bread**

### **Abstract**

Psyllium husks have a high nutritional value in terms of fiber and protein. So the present study aims to investigate the chemical composition, minerals content , physical and sensory evaluation of toast bread mixed with different levels of psyllium husks (PSH) (0%, 10% and 15%). The results revealed that the gross chemical composition of toast bread fortified with 15% (PSH) recorded a high ratio in protein and fiber (15.22%, 31.79% and 3.51%, 7.33%); respectively on a wet weight basis and dry weight basis ,while toast bread fortified with 15% (PSH) had the lowest value of crud fat, total carbohydrates and caloric value (0.22% , 0.46% ; 15.88%, 33.17% and 126.38% , 263.95%) ; respectively on a wet weight basis and dry weight basis. Results also indicated a more significant difference in minerals content between toast bread fortified with psyllium husks and wheat toast bread (control) .The toast bread fortified with 15% (PSH) recorded the highest values in Na, Ca and Fe (31.11 , 40.43 and 2.23) mg/100g ; respectively , as well as sensory evaluation .The scores revealed that the sample with of toast bread fortified with 0% psyllium husks was most acceptable among the panelists due to its texture ,graining , odor and taste as compared to other proportions of toast bread fortified with psyllium husks .The physical characteristics of toast bread with 15% (PSH) recorded a higher value in terms of weight was (199.23 g) and volume (332.40 ml), Consequently ,it is recommended to utilize psyllium husks to raise the nutritional value of products as a source of fiber.

**Keywords:** psyllium husks, chemical composition, minerals content, Toast bread

## Introduction

Wheat bread is a very popular foodstuff in the daily diets of most of the population .Bread is rich in carbohydrates , fat and energy but low in protein,minerals and vitamins (Amnah,٢٠١٨)and(Bouchra *et al.*,٢٠١٨) .Bread is a baked product produced from wheat flour .There are different varieties of bread depending on shape ,weight,crust hardness,crumb cell structure ,softness and color (Islamiyat *et al.*,٢٠١٩) . Wheat is a major cereal crop in many parts of the world. It belongs to the Triticum family, of which there are many species; *T. aestivum* and *T.durum* are the most important commercially. Wheat is used to produce different kinds of foods ,such as bread, pasta, noodles, pastry ,breakfast cereals and baby foods. To produce these products ,wheat must first be processed into flour (Mckevith,٢٠٠٤)and(Swapnil *et al.*, ٢٠١٦).Wheat bread prepared from refined flour lack complex carbohydrates (Elena *et al.*,٢٠٢٣) ,while (Sulieman ,٢٠٠٥) found that the fiber content of wheat flour (٧٢%extraction ) was ٢,٣٥%. increased awareness of health issues the bakery industry is moving to provide functional and healthy foods , mainly via fortification with satiating and active ingredients ,such as proteins, fibers ,minerals and vitamins (Marwa,٢٠٢٢). Dietary fiber is a group of food components which is resistant to digestive enzymes and are found mainly in cereals ,fruits and vegetables. Dietary fiber and whole grains contain a unique blend of bioactive components including resistant starches ,vitamins ,minerals ,phytochemicals and antioxidants (Semih and Selin,٢٠١٤) .Dietary fiber plays a very important role in the human diet. Soluble fiber is known for its hypocholesterolemic effect and insoluble fiber is known for a reducing the risk of colon cancer .B-glucan is known for a reduction in the risk of colon cancer and is known to reduce the absorption of glucose in the digestive system (Ionescu *et al.*,٢٠٠٩)and(Aharon *et al.*,٢٠١٢) .Psyllium(*Plantago ovata*) belongs to the plantaginaceae family and is an annual herb indigenous to the Mediterranean region especially Southern Europe, North Africa and west Asia .that grows to a height of ٣٠-٤٥cm,and leaves are ٧,٥-٢٢cm long and ٠,٥-١cm broad (Ivan ,٢٠٠٥) and (Rai *et al.*,٢٠١٧).Psyllium husks can swell ١٠-١٤ times of their original volume are non- absorbable (Desai *et al.*,٢٠٠٧) ,psyllium husks possess very good gel-forming and high water absorption ability capacities .The major compounds of psyllium husks are pectin, cellulose ,gum ,lignin and mucilage Elena *et al.*,(٢٠٢٣) .The results

of the other research indicate highly increased water absorption and improved workability of the dough with psyllium addition (Ewa *et al.*, 2018). Psyllium husks contain 19.4% protein, 4.0% ash and 84.9% carbohydrates, 98% soluble fibers and 1% insoluble fibers (Sukhija *et al.*, 2016). Its source of natural dietary fiber, And therefor be applied in food production as a novel functional ingredient Yi Ren *et al.*, (2020). It contains high levels of Fe, Ca, K and Na. in addition to Mg, Zn, Mn and Cu (Samah, 2018). Psyllium husks have been added to many food products like (cakes, biscuits, ice cream and yogurt) due to their high nutritional value. Psyllium is well recognized for the treatment of constipation, irritable bowel syndrome symptoms, abdominal pain, cancer prevention, diarrhea inflammatory bowel disease -ulcerative colitis, obesity, diabetes and hypercholesterolemia. (Elisangela *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, this study aims to determine the chemical composition, minerals content, and physical and sensory evaluation of toast bread mixed with different levels of psyllium husks

## Materials and Methods

### Materials

Three kg of Psyllium husks (*plantago ovata forsk*) were obtained from the Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Cairo Egypt, in the year 2022. Wheat flour (92% extraction) (*EL-Duha*), dry yeast and salt were purchased from the local market (Kheir Zaman) in Assiut city.

### Methods

#### Ingredients of toast bread

The formula are presented in Table(1). The bread was fortified by replacing part of the flour with psyllium husks at 0%, 10% and 15%. (EL-Hadidy, 2020)

#### Dough preparation

Flour, water, salt, dry yeast and psyllium husks were mixed by using the ratios given in Table(1) to produce four samples. The ingredients were mixed manually for 10 minutes. Fermentation was performed at  $30 \pm 2$  for 120 minutes with a humidity 85%.

**Table (1):The ingredients of toast bread\***

| Ingredients                         | Quantities | Samples of toast bread |     |     |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----|-----|
|                                     |            | 0%                     | 10% | 15% |
| Wheat flour<br>77%extraction<br>(g) | 100        | 90                     | 90  | 80  |
| Sodium<br>chloride (g)              | 1          | 1                      | 1   | 1   |
| Dry yeast (g)                       | 0,5        | 0,5                    | 0,5 | 0,5 |
| Psyllium husks(g)                   | ----       | 0                      | 10  | 15  |
| Corn oil(ml)                        | 1,5        | 1,5                    | 1,5 | 1,5 |
| Water(ml)                           | 60         | 60                     | 60  | 60  |

\*Rossi *et al.*,(2020)

### Preparation of toast bread

The dough was pressed to release CO<sub>2</sub> and molded with corn oil (about 1,5 ml) in pans with dimensions of length of 12 cm, width 6 cm and height of 4cm. Baking was carried out in an electric oven at 230 – 240 °C for 20-25 minutes. The bread top was subjected to a wet brush to enhance the crust its appearance immediately after being removed from the oven (Rossi *et al.*, 2020).

### Preparation of different blends of toast bread

Blends of bread were prepared using wheat flour at a 77%extraction rate as a control and fortified bread with Psyllium husks at 0%, 10% and 15%.

## **Determination of the chemical composition of toast bread**

**The moisture, ash ,protein , crude fiber and crud fat contents were determined as described by (A.O.A.C., ٢٠١٠).The total carbohydrates were calculated by differences as follows:**



**Calories value was calculated by Seleet,(٢٠١٠).**



## Determination of minerals content of toast bread

Sodium(Na) content was determined by a flame photometer (corning 400). Calcium (Ca) and Iron(Fe) contents in the samples were determined by ICP(ICAP) according to (Isaac and Johnson, 2002).

## Physical evaluation of bread

Loaves were weighed in grams after two hours from of baking and the volume in (ml) of each loaf was determined using the seed displacement method using clover seeds. The specific loaf volume(S.L.V) and loaf weight were calculated according to (Rossi *et al.*, 2000) using the following equation

$$\frac{\text{Volume (ml)}}{\text{Weight (g)}}$$

## Sensory evaluation of bread

Sensory evaluation for the color (crust and crumb) ,graining , texture , odor , taste and overall acceptability of bread was done in order to determine consumer ceptability . A numerical hedonic scale ranging from 1 to 10 (1 is very bad and 10 is excellent ) was used for sensory evaluation by Mostafa and Othman (1986) . Ten experienced judges from the staff of the Nutrition and Food Science, Department , Faculty of Specific Education ,Assiut University , Egypt and Ten consumers.

## Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by applying T- test using SPSS program version 16 and the data analyzed with was analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures by using the (MSTAT- C, 1983) statistical software package Russell (1983). Where the F-test showed signification differences among the means (Duncan ,1990) a multiple range test performed at the 0.05 level .

**Table(1a): chemical composition of wheat toast bread and toast bread fortified with psyllium husks (0%, 10% and 20%) on (W.W) and (D.W)(g/100g)**

| Samples                                   | Moisture %                  | Ash%                        | Protein%                    |                             | Crud fiber%                |                            |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|   |                             |                             | W.W                         | D.W                         | W.W                        | D.W                        |
| Wheat toast bread 0% extraction (control) | 34.26 <sup>D</sup><br>±1.12 | 9.80 <sup>C</sup><br>±0.62  | 12.10 <sup>D</sup><br>±0.11 | 18.48 <sup>D</sup><br>±0.98 | 0.79 <sup>D</sup><br>±0.02 | 1.20 <sup>D</sup><br>±0.03 |
| Toast bread with 0% psyllium husks        | 40.46 <sup>C</sup><br>±1.37 | 10.33 <sup>C</sup><br>±0.43 | 12.99 <sup>C</sup><br>±0.14 | 21.82 <sup>C</sup><br>±1.21 | 1.10 <sup>C</sup><br>±0.04 | 1.93 <sup>C</sup><br>±0.04 |
| Toast bread with 10% psyllium husks       | 48.09 <sup>B</sup><br>±1.78 | 11.60 <sup>B</sup><br>±0.41 | 14.01 <sup>B</sup><br>±0.41 | 27.20 <sup>B</sup><br>±1.30 | 2.07 <sup>B</sup><br>±0.05 | 4.99 <sup>B</sup><br>±0.12 |
| Toast bread with 20% psyllium husks       | 52.12 <sup>A</sup><br>±2.2  | 13.00 <sup>A</sup><br>±0.86 | 15.22 <sup>A</sup><br>±0.32 | 31.79 <sup>A</sup><br>±2.14 | 3.01 <sup>A</sup><br>±0.06 | 7.33 <sup>A</sup><br>±0.31 |
| F-Test                                    | 39.79<br>**                 | 11.14<br>**                 | 43.12<br>**                 | 28.69<br>**                 | 71.36<br>**                | 63.10<br>**                |

-Mean of three replicates .

-N.S(The difference non significant)

-( D.W) = dry weight basis

-( W.W)= wet weight basis

\*\*Highly significant (p<0.01)



**Table(Ƴb): chemical composition of wheat toast bread and toast bread fortified with psyllium husks (0%, 10% and 15%) on(W.W) and (D.W)(g/100)**

| Samples   | Crud fat                   |                            | Total Carbohydrates (g)     |                             | Caloric Value (K.cal/100)    |                              |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|   | W.W                        | D.W                        | W.W                         | D.W                         | W.W                          | D.W                          |
| <b>Wheat toast bread ƳƳ% extraction (control)</b> | 0.51 <sup>A</sup><br>±0.01 | 0.77 <sup>A</sup><br>±0.03 | 42.44 <sup>A</sup><br>±1.63 | 74.00 <sup>A</sup><br>±2.64 | 339.14 <sup>A</sup><br>±4.12 | 222.90 <sup>A</sup><br>±3.74 |
| <b>Toast bread with 0% psyllium husks</b>         | 0.40 <sup>B</sup><br>±0.01 | 0.77 <sup>B</sup><br>±0.02 | 08.23 <sup>B</sup><br>±2.01 | 34.77 <sup>B</sup><br>±1.24 | 327.23 <sup>B</sup><br>±3.60 | 194.24 <sup>B</sup><br>±2.79 |
| <b>Toast bread with 10% psyllium husks</b>        | 0.31 <sup>C</sup><br>±0.02 | 0.70 <sup>C</sup><br>±0.02 | 44.49 <sup>C</sup><br>±1.64 | 22.87 <sup>C</sup><br>±0.96 | 292.38 <sup>C</sup><br>±2.98 | 100.31 <sup>C</sup><br>±2.64 |
| <b>Toast bread with 15% psyllium husks</b>        | 0.22 <sup>D</sup><br>±0.02 | 0.46 <sup>D</sup><br>±0.01 | 33.17 <sup>D</sup><br>±1.40 | 10.88 <sup>D</sup><br>±0.84 | 262.90 <sup>D</sup><br>±2.76 | 126.38 <sup>D</sup><br>±1.60 |
| <b>F-Test</b>                                     | 21.78<br>**                | 14.96<br>***               | 16.88<br>**                 | 01.27<br>**                 | 31.74<br>**                  | 47.80<br>**                  |

-Mean of three replicates .

-N.S(The difference non significant)

-( D.W) = dry weight basis

-( W.W)= wet weight basis

\*\*Highly significant (p<0.01)

The data on the gross chemical composition of wheat toast bread and fortified toast bread with the psyllium husks are presented in Table(2). Results revealed that on a wet weight basis and a dry weight basis the gross chemical composition of toast bread fortified with different levels of psyllium husks recorded the highest ratios in protein and crude fiber (10,22%,31,79% and 3,01%,7,33% ) ;respectively .For toast bread with 10% psyllium husk when compared with wheat toasted bread (control) . On the other hand the lower values were (0,22% ,0,46% ;10,88%,33,17% and 126,38% ,263,90%) in crude fat ,total carbohydrates and caloric value; respectively . These results were in agreement with EL-Hadide,(2020) & Maria and Manuel,(2022) ,They reported that the toast bread of psyllium husks was high in protein ,crud fiber, moisture, ash and the lowest in total carbohydrates and caloric values due to the nutritional value of psyllium husks.

**Table (3): Minerals content of wheat toast bread and fortified toast bread with (0%,10%and10%) psyllium husks (mg/100g)**

| Samples                                    | Na                          | Ca                          | Fe                          |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Wheat toast bread 10% extraction (control) | 26,48 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,86 | 19,94 <sup>D</sup><br>±0,71 | 0,93 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,011 |
| Toast bread with 0% psyllium husks         | 27,01 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,76 | 20,44 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,60 | 1,10 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,021 |
| Toast bread with 10% psyllium husks        | 29,02 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,62 | 32,77 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,37 | 1,30 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,032 |
| Toast bread with 10% psyllium husks        | 31,11 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,86 | 40,43 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,41 | 2,23 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,041 |
| F-Tast                                     | 13,14***                    | 21,78***                    | 12,98***                    |

Mean of three replicates

\*\*Highly significant ( $p \leq 0,01$ )

The minerals content of wheat flour bread and fortified bread with psyllium husks (0%, 10% and 15%) was recorded in Tabel(3). These data recorded highly significant difference at ( $p \leq 0.01$ ) between control and fortified toast bread with psyllium husks (0%, 10% and 15%) in Na, Ca and Fe contents recorded (31,11, 40,43 and 2,23 mg/100g); respectively in toast bread fortified with 15% psyllium husks. These results agreed with *EL-Hadidy* (2020) who reported that the pan bread enriched with PSH increased the content of Fe, Ca and Na compared with the control pan bread prepared from wheat flour. These results due to PSH are comparatively rich sources of indispensable minerals.

**Table(4) Physical characteristics of wheat toast bread and fortified toast bread with (0%, 10% and 15%) psyllium husks**

| Samples  | Physical characteristics      |                              |                            |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
|  | Weight(g)                     | Volume(ml)                   | S.L.V                      |
| Wheat toast bread<br>72% extraction<br>(control) | 138,37 <sup>CD</sup><br>±1,71 | 292,26 <sup>C</sup><br>±2,12 | 2,11 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,03 |
| Toast bread with 0%<br>psyllium husks            | 130,00 <sup>C</sup><br>±1,34  | 300,41 <sup>B</sup><br>±2,18 | 2,21 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,02 |
| Toast bread with 10%<br>psyllium husks           | 166,06 <sup>B</sup><br>±1,84  | 301,89 <sup>B</sup><br>±2,34 | 1,81 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,01 |
| Toast bread with 15%<br>psyllium husks           | 199,23 <sup>A</sup><br>±1,92  | 332,40 <sup>A</sup><br>±2,76 | 1,66 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,02 |
| F-Test   | 24,68**                       | 21,89**                      | 11,34**                    |

±SD . deviation ( $p \leq 0.01$ )

\*\*Highly significant at ( $p \leq 0.01$ )

$$-S . L.V = \frac{\text{Volume (ml)}}{\text{Weight (g)}}$$

The impact of toast bread fortification with PSH on weight (g), volume (ml) and specific volume (S.L.V) revealed that toast bread enriched with PSH had higher values in weight and volume when compared with the control. These results disagree with Simona *et al.*, (2017) who explained that the volume of the toast bread reduced as the extent of PSH increased due to interactions among dietary fiber components, gluten and water.

**Table (2a) :Sensory evaluation of wheat toast bread and fortified toast bread with(0%, 10% and 15%) psyllium husks**

| Samples  | Sensory characteristics    |                            |                            |                            |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|  | Crust                      | Crumb                      | Graining                   | Texture                    |
|  | Color                      | Color                      |                            |                            |
|  | 10                         | 10                         | 10                         | 10                         |
| Wheat toast bread<br>72% extraction<br>(control) | 9,69 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,04 | 9,70 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,36 | 9,63 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,42 | 9,00 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,39 |
| Toast bread with 0%<br>psyllium husks            | 9,38 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,42 | 8,06 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,41 | 9,31 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,62 | 9,44 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,37 |
| Toast bread with<br>10% psyllium husks           | 8,20 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,37 | 8,38 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,27 | 8,31 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,37 | 8,00 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,24 |
| Toast bread with<br>15% psyllium husks           | 7,44 <sup>D</sup><br>±0,40 | 7,38 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,04 | 7,70 <sup>D</sup><br>±0,29 | 7,06 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,19 |
| F-Test   | 13,64**                    | 14,11**                    | 12,89**                    | 10,76**                    |

\*\*Highly significant( $p \leq 0.01$ )

**Table (b) :Sensory evaluation of wheat toast bread and fortified toast bread with(0%, 10% and 15%) psyllium husks**

| Samples  | Sensory characteristics    |                            |                            |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|  | Taste                      | Odor                       | Overall acceptability      |
|  | 10                         | 10                         | 10                         |
| Wheat toast bread<br>12% extraction<br>(control) | 9,56 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,24 | 9,56 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,37 | 9,75 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,31 |
| Toast bread with 0%<br>psyllium husks            | 8,16 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,31 | 9,50 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,42 | 9,63 <sup>A</sup><br>±0,44 |
| Toast bread with 10%<br>psyllium husks           | 8,63 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,41 | 8,75 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,27 | 8,50 <sup>B</sup><br>±0,27 |
| Toast bread with 15%<br>psyllium husks           | 7,63 <sup>D</sup><br>±0,34 | 7,25 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,19 | 7,31 <sup>C</sup><br>±0,13 |
| F-Test   | 11,64**                    | 10,97**                    | 11,03**                    |

\*\*Highly significant( $p \leq 0.01$ )

Table (c) shows the sensory evaluation of wheat toast bread and fortified toast bread with (0%, 10% and 15%) psyllium husks. The scores for sensory attributes such as color (crust and crumb), graining, texture, taste, odor and overall acceptability of toast bread differed highly significantly ( $p \leq 0.01$ ) between samples.

These variations are related to the amount of psyllium husk added. As shown in Table (9) the toast bread fortified with 0% psyllium husks, recorded a higher texture with scores of 9.44. The differences in the color of toast bread enriched with PSH resulted from two reasons. The first and dominant was the color of the PSH, which was characterized by a greyish shade and strongly affected the overall color of the dough and bread. The panelists also noticed this. On the contrary, the chemical composition of wheat toast bread fortified with different levels of psyllium husks, The increased amount PSH reduced the swelling of gluten in the toast bread due to the limited volume according to Krystyjan *et al.*, (2018).



**Fig(1): Wheat toast bread 0% extraction (control)**



**Fig(۲): Toast bread with ۰% psyllium husks**



**Fig (۳): Toast bread with ۱۰% psyllium husks**



**Fig(4):Toast bread with 10%psyllium husks**

## **Conclusions**

**Results revealed that the psyllium husks mixture blended with toast bread had improved nutrients composition and sensory properties. Therefore, it can be fortified successfully into food products to enhance the nutrient content and this will be beneficial a wide variety of food applications such as functional and therapeutic food products.**



## References

- A. O. A. C.(۲۰۱۰):*Official methods of analysis of association of official analytical chemists*, ۱۸<sup>th</sup> Edition Washington, D. C., USA
- Amnah ,M.A.(۲۰۱۸): *Rheological and nutritional properties and sensory evaluation of bread fortified with natural sources of calcium* ,Journal of Food Quality , volume ۱(۷):۸۳۰-۸۳۶.
- Bouchra,S.A.;Thierry,T.;Evita,S.;Martins,S.;Zanda,K.;Zeinab,S.; Akram,H. and Othman,M.(۲۰۱۸): *Protein bread fortification with cumin and caraway seeds and by-product flour* ,Food Science ,۷(۳): ۲۵-۲۸.
- Duncan, B.D.(۱۹۹۵):Multiple ranges and multiple F test , Biometrics,(۱۱):۱-۴۲.
- El-Hadidy ,G.S.(۲۰۲۰):*Preparation and evaluation of pan bread made with wheat flour and psyllium seed for obese patients*, current science international ,volume ۹(۲):۳۶۹-۳۸۰.
- Isaac , R .A. and Johnson ,W.A.(۲۰۰۲):*Elemental analysis of plant tissue by plasma emission spectroscopy*, Collaborative study , Journal Association of official analytical chemists, ۶۸(۳):۴۹۹-۵۰۵.
- Ivan ,A.R.(۲۰۰۵):*Plantago ovata* ,*Medicinal Plants of the World* ,volume ۳:۴۱۹-۴۳۶.
- Krystyan,M.D.;Gumul,A.;Korus,J.K.andSikora,M.(۲۰۱۸): *Physicochemical properties and, sensory acceptance of biscuits fortified with Plantago psyllium flour* ,Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture ۳۰(۹): ۷۵۸-۷۶۳.
- Mayara ,B. and Manuel ,G.(۲۰۲۲): *Psyllium: a useful functional ingredient in food systems*, Food Technology Area ,volume ۶۲(۲): ۵۲۷-۵۳۸.
- Mostafa, M. and Othman, M.(۱۹۸۶): *Changes in fermentation times and its relation to bread characteristics*, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. Research. Bull., ۱۶۱۴, Nov.
- Rai ,M.;Hafeezullah,K.;Safirah,M.;Samina,A.; Muhammed ,R.; Asif,M.;Khurram,A.;Muhammed,A.;Muhammed,A.; Mehwish,A.; Ihtasham,H.;Khawar,A.and Tahira,Y.(۲۰۱۷): *Plantago ovata:a comprehensive review on cultivation ,biochemical, pharmaceutical and pharmacological aspects*, Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica-Drug Research ,volume۷۴(۳):۷۳۹-۷۴۶.
- Rossi, M. G.; Soazo, M.; Piccirilli, G. N.; Llopart, E. E; Revelant , G. C. and Verdin, R. A.(۲۰۲۰): *Technological, nutritional and sensorial characteristics of wheat bread fortified with calcium salts*, International Journal of Food Science and Technology, ۵۵(۱۰): ۳۳۰۶-۳۳۱۴.

- Samah, M.I. (2018): *Effect of pumpkin and psyllium husk seed powder on dough rheology, sensory and quality of pan bread*, African Journal Biological Sciences, volume 14(2): 1-19.
- Aharon, N.; Hana, B.; Liel, G.; Ran, H.; Yoram, K.; Illan, S. and Shmuel, G. (2012); *Effects of baking orosmink and trying on total polyphenols and antioxidking, rowing and frying chickpea seeds*, Food and Nutrition Sciences, (3): 369-376.
- Desai, A.; Shidhaye, S. and Kadam, V. (2007): *Possible use of psyllium husk as a release retardand*, Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, volume 69(2): 206.
- Elena, B.; Giedre, K.; Vytaute, S.; Dovile, K.; Egle, Z.; Darius, C.; Egle, K.; Fatih, O. and Joao, M. (2023): *Psyllium husk gel used as an alternative and more sustainable scalding technology for wheat bread quality improvement and acrylamide reduction*, National Library of Medicine, volume 10: 33-89.
- Elisangela, A.N.; Ana, S.S.; Regiane, R.S. and Nathalia, R.D. (2020) : *Psyllium (plantago ovata farsk) from evidence of health benefits to its food application*, volume 96: 166-170.
- Ewa, P.; Radostaw, S.; Agata, W. and Zygmunt, G. (2018): *The effect of plantgo seeds and husk on wheat dough and bread functional properties*, Science Direct, volume 96: 371-377.
- Ionescu, A.; Aprodu, I.; Daraba, A.; Gurau, G.; Baciuc, C. and Nichita, A. (2009): *Chemical and functional characterization of chickpea protein derivates*, The Annals of the University Dunarea de Jos of Galati, Food Techology, New Series, 16-27.
- Islamiyat, F.; Tawakalitu, E. and Akeem, O. (2019): *Nutritive value and acceptability of bread fortified with moringa seed powder*, Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, volume 18(2): 190-200.
- McKevith, B., (2004): *Nutritional aspects of cereals*, J. Food Prod. Design, 9(5): 43.
- Russell, D. (1983) : *MSTAT Director Crop and soil sciences department*, Michigan State University, Version 2, 10.
- Selet, R. (2010): *The analysis of nutrients in foods*, Academic press, Inc; London, GB.
- Semih, O. and Selin, O. (2014): *Health effects of dietary fiber*, Acta scientiarum polonorum Technologia alimentaria, volume 13(2): 191-202.
- Simona, M.; Adriana, P.; Sevastita, M.; Anamaria, P. and Elena, A.M. (2017): *Influence of psyllium husk (Plantago ovata) on bread quality*, Bulletin UASVM Food Science and Technology, 14(1): 33-34.
- Sukhija, S.; Singh, S. and Riar, C.S. (2016): *Analyzing the effect of whey protein concentrate and psyllium husk on various*

*characteristics of biodegradable film from lotus (Nelumbo nucifera)rhizome starch ,food hydrocolloids, volume 60:128-137.*

-Suliman, A. (2005): *Quality characteristics of wheat breads supplemented with chickpea (Cicer arietenum) flour*, U.of.K.J. Agric. Sci. 13(2):292-303

-Swapnil, S.; Margaret, A.; Susan, L., and Charles S. (2016): *The effects of fortification of legumes and extrusion on the protein digestibility of wheat based snack*", Centre for food Research and innovation, Department of Wine, Food and Molecular Biosciences

-Yi Ren.; Gleb ,E.Y.; Bruce ,R.L.; William ,M. and Tim,J.F.(2020):*Temperature fractionation , physicochemical and rheological analysis of psyllium seed husk heteroxylan* ,Food Hydrocolloids ,volume 104(2):105-137.

## التركيب الفيزيائي والمحتوي المعدني والتقييم الحسي لقشور السيليوم لخبز التوست

### مستخلص البحث

تحتوي قشور السيليوم علي قيمه غذائية عاليه من الالياف والبروتين لذلك تهدف الدراسه الحاليه الي دراسه التركيب الكيميائي والمحتوي المعدني والتقييم الفيزيائي والحسي لخبز التوست المدعم بنسب مختلفه من قشور السيليوم (PSH) (١٥٪، ١٠٪، ٥٪). أظهرت النتائج ان التركيب الكيميائي الاجمالي لخبز التوست المدعم ب١٥%قشور السيليوم سجل نسب عاليه من البروتين والالياف (١٥,٢٢, %٣١,٧٩, %٣,٥١, %٧,٣٣) علي التوالي علي اساس الوزن الرطب والوزن الجاف. في حين أن خبز التوست المدعم ب١٥%قشور السيليوم سجل قيم أقل في محتوى الدهون الخام والكربوهيدرات الكليه و قيمه السعرات الحراريه (٠,٢٢, %٠,٤٦٥, %١٥,٨٨, %٣٣,١٧, %١٢٦,٣٦) ٢٦٣,٩, %٥) علي التوالي علي اساس الوزن الرطب والوزن الجاف أشارت النتائج أيضا الي وجود فروق معنويه أكبر في المحتوى المعدني بين خبز التوست المدعم بقشور السيليوم وخبز التوست (الكنترول). سجل خبز التوست المدعم ب ١٥%قشور السيليوم أعلى قيم في الصوديوم والكالسيوم والحديد (٣١,١١ , ٤٣,٤٠ , ٢,٢٣) ملجم/١٠٠جم علي التوالي . أظهر التقييم الحسي أيضا أن عينه خبز التوست المدعم بقشور السيليوم بنسبه ٥% كانت الاكثر قبولا بين اعضاء لجنه التحكيم من حيث: القوام والطعم والتحبب مقارنة بنسب خبز التوست المدعم بقشور السيليوم الاخرى. الخصائص الفيزيائيه لخبز التوست الذي يحتوي علي ١٥%قشور السيليوم فقد سجل أعلى قيمه من حيث الوزن (١٩٩,٢٣g) والحجم (٣٣٢,٤٠ml)ولذلك يوصي باستخدام قشور السيليوم كمصدر للالياف لرفع القيمه الغذائيه للمنتجات.

### الكلمات المفتاحيه:

قشور السيليوم ،التركيب الكيميائي ، المحتوى المعدني ،خبز التوست